

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

WARREN RAFFENSBERGER,
Plaintiff,

v.

SUSAN E. MOYER, ET AL.,
Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 09-4758


ORDER

AND NOW, this 29th day of March, 2010, upon consideration of the Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants Charles Haugh, Stephen Englert, and Joshua Rapp (Docs. 14-16) and Plaintiff's Response in Opposition thereto (Doc. 17), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED and DECREED** that Defendants' Motion is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED** with **PREJUDICE** on the basis of the statute of limitations.¹

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall mark the above-captioned case as **CLOSED** for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:



Hon. Petrese B. Tucker, U.S.D.J.

¹ The Court notes that granting any future request for leave to amend Plaintiff's Complaint would be futile. Plaintiff cannot amend the Complaint to state a claim on which relief could be granted because the litigation of this case would be time-barred.